

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Appl. No.	:	10/633,137	Confirmation No. 3128
Applicant	:	Muhammad Athar Shah and Michael J. Horowitz	
Filed	:	August 1, 2003	
TC/A.U.	:	2621	
Examiner	:	Anand Shashikant Rao	
Docket No.	:	199-0201US	
Customer No.	:	29855	
Title	:	METHODS FOR ENCODING OR DECODING IN A VIDEOCONFERENCE SYSTEM TO REDUCE PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH NOISY IMAGE ACQUISITION	

Box Appeal Brief  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Mail Stop: Appeal Briefs – Patents

**RESPONSE TO SECOND NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF**

This paper is filed in response to the second Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed October 13, 2009 (“the Second Notice”). The statement that “The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal...” is incorrect. Review of one rejection is sought, and exactly one sub-heading identically corresponding to this rejection is presented. *See p. 6.*

Furthermore, Appellants note that no information is missing in the white spaces on pages 8 and 12 of the brief. Moreover, Appellants further note that a casual reading the lines immediately prior to the excerpts from the cited art would make clear exactly which portions of the cited art are being reproduced. From this listing it is apparent that no information has been omitted.

These comments are submitted by way of “clarification” as requested in the Second Notice. Because there are no errors, “correction” is neither necessary nor possible.

October 21 2009

Filed Electronically

Respectfully Submitted,

/Billy C. Allen III/  
Billy C. Allen, III, Reg. No. 46, 147  
Wong, Cabello, Lutsch,  
Rutherford & Brucculeri, L.L.P.  
20333 State Hwy 249, Suite 600  
Houston, Texas 77070  
832-446-2409